

Multiple Perspectives Project



Progress Report

November 17, 2004



Project Purpose

- Key findings that flow from the data contained in the *National Report*
- Potential refinements in the Montreal Process C&I
- Data gaps that impede understanding
- Theories, concepts, and experiences that stakeholders from different perspectives use as the basis for their analysis



Review Committee

- **Nadine Block**, AF&PA
- **Connie Carpenter**, USDA Forest Service
- **Hanna Cortner**, Cortner & Associates
- **Paul Geissler**, U.S. Geological Survey
- **Bernard Hubbard**, Michigan DNR
- **Eric Norland**, USDA CSREES
- **Laurie Schoonhoven**, Sustainable Forestry Partnership



Proposal Authors

- **Tom Bancroft**, Wilderness Society
- **John Fedkiw**, Private Citizen, USDA (retired)
- **Robert Fledderman**, MeadWestvaco
- **Lloyd Irland**, The Irland Group
- **Jay O'Laughlin**, University of Idaho and Western Governors' Association Forest Health Advisory Committee
- **Craig Patterson**, Advocating for Rural Communities



Proposal Authors

- **Michael Gaudern**, Oregon Small Woodland Owners Association
Amos Eno, New England Forestry Foundation
- **Jeff Horan**, Maryland DNR Forest Service
Donald Outen, Baltimore County Dept. of Environmental Protection
- **David Morman**, OR Dept. of Forestry
Leslie Lehman, OR Forest Resource Inst.
Linc Cannon, OR Forest Industries Council



Proposal Authors

- **Mathew Smidt**, Auburn University
- James Finley**, Penn State University
- Leon Liegel**, Oregon State University
- A. Scott Reed**, Oregon State University
- Richard Brinker**, Auburn University
- Christienne Pereira**, USDA CSREES



Stakeholder Perspectives

- Academics
- Government: Federal, State, and County
- Non-industrial Private Landowner
- Industrial Private Landowner
- Community
- Forest Products Industry
- Environmental Group
- Forest Management Consultant
- Private Citizen



Donors

- USDA Forest Service
- U.S. Geological Survey
- The Wilderness Society
- Boise
- Weyerhaeuser



Next Steps

- **Next Week:** Communicate Review Committee feedback to authors
- **Mid-December:** Review Committee consider resubmissions
- **Mid-December:** Letter to potential donors
- **March 31:** Draft papers due
- **May 11-13:** Update and preview; announce launch of dialogue site



Next Steps

- **Late July:** Close dialogue site
- **Early September:** Authors submit responses to feedback
- **October:** Multiple Perspectives Workshop
- **Oct/Nov:** Begin publication process