

Appendix 6. What Would Have Made the 2003 Report More Useful – Full Table

What Would Have Made the 2003 Report More Useful		
	<u>Total Responses</u> <u>(N=309)</u>	<u>% of Responses</u> <u>(N=132)</u>
<u>Meaningful Summary and Interpretation</u>	29	22%
High level integrated summary regarding the condition and sustainability of the nation's forests - want to see sustainability benchmarks, conclusions and recommendations	18	14%
High level integrated summary regarding the condition and sustainability of regional forests - want to see sustainability benchmarks, conclusions and recommendations	4	3%
Provide summary version of the state of our forests	7	5%
<u>Improvements in the Indicator Level Reporting</u>	43	33%
Clear presentation of status and trends for key indicators - want to see sustainability benchmarks and interpretation of what it means	13	10%
Provide more information on all indicators - fill the data gaps	6	5%
Use non quantitative approaches to report on some indicators	1	1%
Keep updating trends with current data	7	5%
Identify relative importance of each indicator to sustainable forests	2	2%
Focus on fewer indicators that are most important	3	2%
Use composite indicators within a criteria	1	1%
Provide information on inter-relationships between the indicators	3	2%
Present level of confidence in existing data	1	1%
More high quality data	2	2%
Integrate remote sensing information for indicator verification	1	1%
Consistent presentation of data across indicators	1	1%
Better citation of references	1	1%
Show spatial distribution of the data	1	1%
<u>New Information or Analyses</u>	51	39%
Focus more emphasis on soils	1	1%
Better define social indicators (e.g., community resilience and liability)	3	2%
Present carbon fixation rates per region	1	1%
Report on recreation value per region	1	1%
Review relationship between policies and forest sustainability	7	5%
Include conservation tree planting, not just commercial scale activities	1	1%

	New indicator - biomass potential	1	1%
	Better address non-timber forest resources and products	3	2%
	Provide information on acreage under stages of forest planning and certification	1	1%
	Regional/State/Local data sets	25	19%
	Information and analyses need to be 'scalable'	6	5%
	Provide local case studies where data is available	1	1%
	Organization and Presentation of Results	33	25%
	Present forest sustainability values by geographic regions	7	5%
	Special interpretations for different themes (urban forests, private forests, etc.)	3	2%
	Special interpretations for different markets (educational, landowners, etc.)	5	4%
	Reduce amount of text	3	2%
	Provide non-technical fact sheets	4	3%
	Present forest sustainability values by ownership	7	5%
	Tie results to global warming issues	3	2%
	Connect data to Google Earth	1	1%
	Data Access	13	10%
	User friendly web site	2	2%
	Provide flexible delivery of data (downloadable tables and maps)	9	7%
	Improved interface on CD	1	1%
	Provide summary data tables	1	1%